



Scantron

Mr./Ms Tom Chiang

## Survey Evaluation Results

Dear Mr./Dear Ms Chiang,

In the attachment you will find the evaluation results of the survey 2020-FL-CJ2255-007.

In AY 2017-2018, according to 20/AS/18/FAC, the Ad Hoc Committee on Student Opinions of Instruction Surveys “was formed ‘to consider the ramifications, and make recommendations, concerning the announced move by IDEA to eliminate paper survey instruments in favor of online-only instruments for student opinion of instruction.’ The Ad Hoc Committee’s recommendations, in summary, include: dispensing with IDEA as our survey instrument; replacing it with a campus-based instrument that is designed, reviewed and modified as necessary through the faculty governance process (with Faculty Affairs Committee taking primary responsibility for these tasks, in consultation with other appropriate parties); that this campus-based instrument be implemented and analyzed at the campus level as well; and that such a survey instrument, once implemented, be clearly understood as only one component of the process of reviewing faculty members’ teaching performance (as specified under Article 15 of the CBA).”

Consistent with those committee recommendations, the Student Perceptions of Teaching and Learning (SPOT) Survey, which has received both Senate and Presidential approval, will replace the current teaching evaluation instrument (IDEA) beginning this fall (2019). The statements and questions to which students will respond are new. In addition, unlike IDEA, the new SPOT survey is not nationally normed. Only CSU Stanislaus students will respond to this instrument.

This means that half of the courses surveyed will be below the median scores. In view of the novelty of this instrument, departments are urged to review their RPT elaborations and update them as necessary. Also, faculty members preparing WPAFs are encouraged to include additional methods/instruments of assessing student perceptions of teaching, take advantage of SPOT training sessions that will be organized by the FDC this academic year, and consult with the other faculty members of their department regarding this important component of WPAF preparation. Lastly, the URPTC and the Academic Senate discourages those reviewing files from making personnel decisions solely or primarily based on the teaching assessment reports derived from SPOT. The new instrument will enable the collection of useful information, but it is important to understand that information in the context of the new approach to soliciting student perceptions on teaching.

### INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO READ REPORT:

The overall indicator is followed by the individual average values of the scales.  
In the second part of the analysis, the average values of all individual questions are listed.

If you have any further questions do not hesitate to contact the Academic Senate Office.

Thank you.

# Tom Chiang


2020-FL-CJ2255-007 (2020-FL-CJ2255-007)  
No. of responses = 10



## Survey Results

### Legend

Question text




n=No. of responses  
av.=Mean  
dev.=Std. Dev.  
ab.=Abstention

### 1. About the Course and Instructor....



1.9) The grading criteria for this course were clearly applied




# Profile

Subunit: Fall 2020 College of the Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences (CAHSS)  
 Name of the instructor: Tom Chiang  
 Name of the course: 2020-FL-CJ2255-007  
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. About the Course and Instructor....



# Comments Report

---

## 1. About the Course and Instructor....

1.10) What expectations did you have going into this course?

- Gaining more knowledge in the CJ field
- Going into CJ2255, I expected to use my knowledge into using a professional way to collect and analyze information.
- It would be extremely difficult.
- To learn about the Methods and Inquires of Criminal Justice.

1.11) What contributed most to your learning in this course?

- Learning how to write a research paper using very little information, especially during this pandemic.
- Professor Chiang's attitude and communication was flawless.
- The exams
- The helpfulness and understanding of the professor
- The positive learning environment and teaching methods.

1.12) What grade did you expect to get in this course?

- A (2 Counts)
- An A
- B (2 Counts)
- B+

1.13) What additional comments or feedback would you like to offer this instructor

- Awesome professor!
- Good professor who explained work and concepts thoroughly. Gave extensions on some assignments which I thank him for since distance learning was difficult this semester.
- NA
- None that wouldn't be any more constructive.
- The instructor was very helpful