

Rowan University

Student Evaluation of Teaching Effectiveness Report



Chiang, Tom

Fall 2021

SOC 08.336.1

SOCIOLOGY OF EDUCATION

ROWAN UNIVERSITY

STUDENT EVALUATION OF TEACHING EFFECTIVENESS REPORT

SOC o8.336.1 (Chiang, Tom) SOCIOLOGY OF EDUCATION

Evaluation Administered: Fall 2021 (202140)

Number of students enrolled: 24

Number of evaluations completed: 7

Comparative overall statistics:


THIS EVALUATION:	RESPONSES:	84	MEAN:	4.89	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	0.309
DEPARTMENT-WIDE:	RESPONSES:	2,005	MEAN:	4.40	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	0.936
COLLEGE-WIDE:	RESPONSES:	27,941	MEAN:	4.54	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	0.838
UNIVERSITY-WIDE:	RESPONSES:	168,774	MEAN:	4.55	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	0.804

STATISTICAL PRESENTATION OF EVALUATION RESULTS

Question 1: The instructor taught the subject in a way that helped students learn.

RESPONSES: 7 MEAN: 4.86

5	Always	6
4	Very often	1
3	Sometimes	0
2	Rarely	0
1	Never	0




DEPARTMENT-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES:	168	MEAN:	4.26	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	0.934
COLLEGE-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES:	2,344	MEAN:	4.48	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	0.850
UNIVERSITY-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES:	14,174	MEAN:	4.50	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	0.816

Question 2: The instructor gave clear explanations.

RESPONSES: 7 MEAN: 4.86

5	Always	6
4	Very often	1
3	Sometimes	0
2	Rarely	0
1	Never	0




DEPARTMENT-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES:	168	MEAN:	4.18	MEDIAN:	4	STDEV:	0.941
COLLEGE-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES:	2,336	MEAN:	4.42	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	0.853
UNIVERSITY-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES:	14,094	MEAN:	4.45	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	0.828

Question 3: The instructor asked questions that promoted thinking.

RESPONSES: 7 MEAN: 4.86

5	Always	6
4	Very often	1
3	Sometimes	0
2	Rarely	0
1	Never	0




DEPARTMENT-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES:	168	MEAN:	4.45	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	0.871
COLLEGE-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES:	2,331	MEAN:	4.48	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	0.835
UNIVERSITY-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES:	14,083	MEAN:	4.45	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	0.853

Question 4: The instructor addressed student questions and comments.

RESPONSES: 7 MEAN: 5.00

5	Always	7
4	Very often	0
3	Sometimes	0
2	Rarely	0
1	Never	0




DEPARTMENT-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES: 167	MEAN: 4.51	MEDIAN: 5	STDEV: 0.875
COLLEGE-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES: 2,325	MEAN: 4.67	MEDIAN: 5	STDEV: 0.719
UNIVERSITY-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES: 14,065	MEAN: 4.69	MEDIAN: 5	STDEV: 0.683

Question 5: The instructor provided useful feedback on student work.

RESPONSES: 7 MEAN: 4.71

5	Always	5
4	Very often	2
3	Sometimes	0
2	Rarely	0
1	Never	0




DEPARTMENT-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES: 168	MEAN: 4.10	MEDIAN: 4	STDEV: 1.103
COLLEGE-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES: 2,328	MEAN: 4.37	MEDIAN: 5	STDEV: 0.977
UNIVERSITY-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES: 14,074	MEAN: 4.43	MEDIAN: 5	STDEV: 0.930

Question 6: The instructor treated students with fairness and respect appropriate for a professional relationship.

RESPONSES: 7 MEAN: 4.71

5	Always	5
4	Very often	2
3	Sometimes	0
2	Rarely	0
1	Never	0




DEPARTMENT-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES: 168	MEAN: 4.62	MEDIAN: 5	STDEV: 0.799
COLLEGE-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES: 2,330	MEAN: 4.81	MEDIAN: 5	STDEV: 0.569
UNIVERSITY-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES: 14,069	MEAN: 4.80	MEDIAN: 5	STDEV: 0.555

Question 7: The instructor actively engaged students.

RESPONSES: 7 MEAN: 5.00

5	Always	7
4	Very often	0
3	Sometimes	0
2	Rarely	0
1	Never	0




DEPARTMENT-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES: 167	MEAN: 4.49	MEDIAN: 5	STDEV: 0.895
COLLEGE-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES: 2,332	MEAN: 4.51	MEDIAN: 5	STDEV: 0.874
UNIVERSITY-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES: 14,071	MEAN: 4.50	MEDIAN: 5	STDEV: 0.839

Question 8: The instructor encouraged students to express ideas or opinions.

RESPONSES: 7 MEAN: 4.86

5	Always	6
4	Very often	1
3	Sometimes	0
2	Rarely	0
1	Never	0




DEPARTMENT-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES:	165	MEAN:	4.57	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	0.818
COLLEGE-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES:	2,327	MEAN:	4.56	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	0.832
UNIVERSITY-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES:	14,048	MEAN:	4.55	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	0.815

Question 9: The instructor was prepared for class.

RESPONSES: 7 MEAN: 5.00

5	Always	7
4	Very often	0
3	Sometimes	0
2	Rarely	0
1	Never	0




DEPARTMENT-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES:	166	MEAN:	4.65	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	0.735
COLLEGE-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES:	2,328	MEAN:	4.75	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	0.567
UNIVERSITY-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES:	14,043	MEAN:	4.77	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	0.571

Question 10: The instructor communicated course and lesson goals.

RESPONSES: 7 MEAN: 5.00

5	Always	7
4	Very often	0
3	Sometimes	0
2	Rarely	0
1	Never	0




DEPARTMENT-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES:	167	MEAN:	4.33	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	1.029
COLLEGE-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES:	2,319	MEAN:	4.58	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	0.788
UNIVERSITY-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES:	14,039	MEAN:	4.62	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	0.733

Question 11: The instructor taught class in a way that helped students make connections to their personal or professional lives.

RESPONSES: 7 MEAN: 5.00

5	Always	7
4	Very often	0
3	Sometimes	0
2	Rarely	0
1	Never	0




DEPARTMENT-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES:	167	MEAN:	4.40	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	0.947
COLLEGE-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES:	2,327	MEAN:	4.34	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	1.000
UNIVERSITY-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES:	14,027	MEAN:	4.40	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	0.924

Question 12: The instructor was open to student feedback about the course and instructional methods.

RESPONSES: 7 MEAN: 4.86

5	Always	6
4	Very often	1
3	Sometimes	0
2	Rarely	0
1	Never	0



DEPARTMENT-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES:	166	MEAN:	4.28	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	1.027
COLLEGE-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES:	2,314	MEAN:	4.45	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	0.917
UNIVERSITY-WIDE STATISTICS:	RESPONSES:	13,987	MEAN:	4.51	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	0.869

Question 13: Tell a future student about this instructor and course.
See **EVALUATION COMMENTS** below

EVALUATION COMMENTS

Only questions for which comments were provided appear below.

Question 1: The instructor taught the subject in a way that helped students learn.

1. Used different methods of delivery-lectures, readings, videos, etc.

Question 2: The instructor gave clear explanations.

1. Instructor always explained points thoroughly, and checked for understanding. If there was confusion, examples were included of ideas and topics to help illustrate their meanings.

Question 5: The instructor provided useful feedback on student work.

1. Feedback was always geared to assignment rubric, and contained useful tips.

Question 6: The instructor treated students with fairness and respect appropriate for a professional relationship.

1. Professor Chiang always encouraged classroom discussions. We had plenty of conversations about the American education system.

Question 7: The instructor actively engaged students.

1. Lectures were involved, online discussions boards required peer-to-peer engagement.

Question 8: The instructor encouraged students to express ideas or opinions.

1. Discussions always allowed for student input of their opinions and thoughts.

Question 9: The instructor was prepared for class.

1. Lectures and readings always pre-posted and ready to go.

Question 10: The instructor communicated course and lesson goals.

1. Rubrics always provided.

Question 11: The instructor taught class in a way that helped students make connections to their personal or professional lives.

1. Real-world examples allowed for connections to personal lives. Using recent examples also created higher relevancy.

Question 12: The instructor was open to student feedback about the course and instructional methods.

1. Instructor always checked on how students were doing with the course, and was understanding in terms of flexibility with making changes.

Question 13: Tell a future student about this instructor and course.

1. Heâ s great!!! he understands life happens and is never opposed to helping out if an assignment needs an extension etc. if you fail the course is because you yourself did not try!
2. It was a very interesting class. It was great to apply how we learn and what sociological factors surround learning.
3. Very great professor!
4. Despite Professor Chiang being a younger instructor his classroom etiquette and lessons are very professional. I really enjoyed this class and learned a lot.
5. Prof.Chiang is one the best hands down. He understands the students and accepts them because he was once in our shoes. Would take his class if I had too.
6. Very interesting and relevant course for those interested in sociology as well as education. Course paints the picture for how society has impacted and changed the educational landscape. Instructor was very knowledgeable and understood what it was like to be a student in the "pandemic world." Very flexible. Instructor created assignments that were engaging and relevant.

