

Rowan University

Student Evaluation of Teaching Effectiveness Report



Chiang, Tom

Spring 2022

SOC 08.332.2C

CONTEMP SOCIOLOGICAL THEORY

ROWAN UNIVERSITY

STUDENT EVALUATION OF TEACHING EFFECTIVENESS REPORT

SOC o8.332.2C (Chiang, Tom) CONTEMP SOCIOLOGICAL THEORY

Evaluation Administered: Spring 2022 (20220)

Number of students enrolled: 20

Number of evaluations completed: 5

Comparative overall statistics:


THIS EVALUATION:	RESPONSES:	59	MEAN:	5.00	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	0.000
DEPARTMENT-WIDE:	DATA SAMPLE TOO SMALL							
COLLEGE-WIDE:	RESPONSES:	18,730	MEAN:	4.61	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	0.762
UNIVERSITY-WIDE:	RESPONSES:	132,761	MEAN:	4.59	MEDIAN:	5	STDEV:	0.777

STATISTICAL PRESENTATION OF EVALUATION RESULTS

Question 1: The instructor taught the subject in a way that helped students learn.

RESPONSES: 5 MEAN: 5.00

5	Always	5
4	Very often	0
3	Sometimes	0
2	Rarely	0
1	Never	0



DEPARTMENT-WIDE STATISTICS: DATA SAMPLE TOO SMALL


COLLEGE-WIDE STATISTICS: RESPONSES: 1,574 MEAN: 4.56 MEDIAN: 5 STDEV: 0.777

UNIVERSITY-WIDE STATISTICS: RESPONSES: 11,149 MEAN: 4.53 MEDIAN: 5 STDEV: 0.797

Question 2: The instructor gave clear explanations.

RESPONSES: 5 MEAN: 5.00

5	Always	5
4	Very often	0
3	Sometimes	0
2	Rarely	0
1	Never	0



DEPARTMENT-WIDE STATISTICS: DATA SAMPLE TOO SMALL


COLLEGE-WIDE STATISTICS: RESPONSES: 1,569 MEAN: 4.51 MEDIAN: 5 STDEV: 0.787

UNIVERSITY-WIDE STATISTICS: RESPONSES: 11,105 MEAN: 4.49 MEDIAN: 5 STDEV: 0.804

Question 3: The instructor asked questions that promoted thinking.

RESPONSES: 5 MEAN: 5.00

5	Always	5
4	Very often	0
3	Sometimes	0
2	Rarely	0
1	Never	0



DEPARTMENT-WIDE STATISTICS: DATA SAMPLE TOO SMALL


COLLEGE-WIDE STATISTICS: RESPONSES: 1,561 MEAN: 4.56 MEDIAN: 5 STDEV: 0.788

UNIVERSITY-WIDE STATISTICS: RESPONSES: 11,069 MEAN: 4.49 MEDIAN: 5 STDEV: 0.818

Question 4: The instructor addressed student questions and comments.

RESPONSES: 5 MEAN: 5.00

5	Always	5
4	Very often	0
3	Sometimes	0
2	Rarely	0
1	Never	0



DEPARTMENT-WIDE STATISTICS: DATA SAMPLE TOO SMALL


COLLEGE-WIDE STATISTICS: RESPONSES: 1,565 MEAN: 4.73 MEDIAN: 5 STDEV: 0.621

UNIVERSITY-WIDE STATISTICS: RESPONSES: 11,062 MEAN: 4.71 MEDIAN: 5 STDEV: 0.654

Question 5: The instructor provided useful feedback on student work.

RESPONSES: 4 MEAN: 5.00

5	Always	4
4	Very often	0
3	Sometimes	0
2	Rarely	0
1	Never	0



DEPARTMENT-WIDE STATISTICS: DATA SAMPLE TOO SMALL

COLLEGE-WIDE STATISTICS: RESPONSES: 1,562 MEAN: 4.43 MEDIAN: 5 STDEV: 0.921

UNIVERSITY-WIDE STATISTICS: RESPONSES: 11,062 MEAN: 4.46 MEDIAN: 5 STDEV: 0.914

Question 6: The instructor treated students with fairness and respect appropriate for a professional relationship.

RESPONSES: 5 MEAN: 5.00

5	Always	5
4	Very often	0
3	Sometimes	0
2	Rarely	0
1	Never	0



DEPARTMENT-WIDE STATISTICS: DATA SAMPLE TOO SMALL


COLLEGE-WIDE STATISTICS: RESPONSES: 1,563 MEAN: 4.85 MEDIAN: 5 STDEV: 0.486

UNIVERSITY-WIDE STATISTICS: RESPONSES: 11,077 MEAN: 4.81 MEDIAN: 5 STDEV: 0.540

Question 7: The instructor actively engaged students.

RESPONSES: 5 MEAN: 5.00

5	Always	5
4	Very often	0
3	Sometimes	0
2	Rarely	0
1	Never	0



DEPARTMENT-WIDE STATISTICS: DATA SAMPLE TOO SMALL


COLLEGE-WIDE STATISTICS: RESPONSES: 1,564 MEAN: 4.56 MEDIAN: 5 STDEV: 0.820

UNIVERSITY-WIDE STATISTICS: RESPONSES: 11,062 MEAN: 4.54 MEDIAN: 5 STDEV: 0.800

Question 8: The instructor encouraged students to express ideas or opinions.

RESPONSES: 5 MEAN: 5.00

5	Always	5
4	Very often	0
3	Sometimes	0
2	Rarely	0
1	Never	0



DEPARTMENT-WIDE STATISTICS: DATA SAMPLE TOO SMALL


COLLEGE-WIDE STATISTICS: RESPONSES: 1,560 MEAN: 4.64 MEDIAN: 5 STDEV: 0.741

UNIVERSITY-WIDE STATISTICS: RESPONSES: 11,058 MEAN: 4.59 MEDIAN: 5 STDEV: 0.768

Question 9: The instructor was prepared for class.

RESPONSES: 5 MEAN: 5.00

5	Always	5
4	Very often	0
3	Sometimes	0
2	Rarely	0
1	Never	0



DEPARTMENT-WIDE STATISTICS: DATA SAMPLE TOO SMALL


COLLEGE-WIDE STATISTICS: RESPONSES: 1,558 MEAN: 4.78 MEDIAN: 5 STDEV: 0.530

UNIVERSITY-WIDE STATISTICS: RESPONSES: 11,042 MEAN: 4.77 MEDIAN: 5 STDEV: 0.555

Question 10: The instructor communicated course and lesson goals.

RESPONSES: 5 MEAN: 5.00

5	Always	5
4	Very often	0
3	Sometimes	0
2	Rarely	0
1	Never	0



DEPARTMENT-WIDE STATISTICS: DATA SAMPLE TOO SMALL


COLLEGE-WIDE STATISTICS: RESPONSES: 1,555 MEAN: 4.64 MEDIAN: 5 STDEV: 0.722

UNIVERSITY-WIDE STATISTICS: RESPONSES: 11,041 MEAN: 4.65 MEDIAN: 5 STDEV: 0.711

Question 11: The instructor taught class in a way that helped students make connections to their personal or professional lives.

RESPONSES: 5 MEAN: 5.00

5	Always	5
4	Very often	0
3	Sometimes	0
2	Rarely	0
1	Never	0



DEPARTMENT-WIDE STATISTICS: DATA SAMPLE TOO SMALL


COLLEGE-WIDE STATISTICS: RESPONSES: 1,552 MEAN: 4.47 MEDIAN: 5 STDEV: 0.889

UNIVERSITY-WIDE STATISTICS: RESPONSES: 11,031 MEAN: 4.44 MEDIAN: 5 STDEV: 0.907

Question 12: The instructor was open to student feedback about the course and instructional methods.

RESPONSES: 5 MEAN: 5.00

5	Always	5
4	Very often	0
3	Sometimes	0
2	Rarely	0
1	Never	0



DEPARTMENT-WIDE STATISTICS: DATA SAMPLE TOO SMALL

COLLEGE-WIDE STATISTICS: RESPONSES: 1,547 MEAN: 4.56 MEDIAN: 5 STDEV: 0.812

UNIVERSITY-WIDE STATISTICS: RESPONSES: 11,003 MEAN: 4.54 MEDIAN: 5 STDEV: 0.841

Question 13: Tell a future student about this instructor and course.
See **EVALUATION COMMENTS** below

EVALUATION COMMENTS

Only questions for which comments were provided appear below.

Question 1: The instructor taught the subject in a way that helped students learn.

1. Lectures were always interesting. He provided great content that allowed me to further understand the lessons that were being taught.
2. Professor Chiang, always taught each subject in a way that my classmates and I could understand. He would even go over a subject again at a slower pace if we did not understand, and he would use different verbiage in order to ensure that every person gained a better understanding.

Question 2: The instructor gave clear explanations.

1. yes. Slide shows, guest speakers, and short movies, and lectures we always on point

Question 3: The instructor asked questions that promoted thinking.

1. I truly enjoyed the assigned DQs. I was able to open up and voice my thoughts without judgement. I feel that the class was fully engaged based off of the DQ responses.
2. Discussion questions always promoted me to think beyond the surface and to use my personal experiences to guide me.

Question 4: The instructor addressed student questions and comments.

1. Professor Chiang often asked open ended questions.
2. Professor Chiang, was always open to answering my questions, even if he didn't know the answer at the time he would research my question and get back to me.

Question 6: The instructor treated students with fairness and respect appropriate for a professional relationship.

1. I am a full time student, and I am working full time. Professor Chiang was fair and understanding.
2. Professor Chiang, is the best Professor I have ever taking all the years I have been in school. I will take him over and over.

Question 7: The instructor actively engaged students.

1. Yes we were able to have great discussions
2. I have to be honest and say that this is the best class EVER! I felt that Dr. Chiang taught us but he often said "I am learning so much from you guy" He allowed us to openly express our thoughts/feeling on the topic he was presenting.

Question 9: The instructor was prepared for class.

1. Professor Chiang was always prepared. I have personally purchased 2 books that he has referenced in class. Our guest speaker was amazing.

Question 11: The instructor taught class in a way that helped students make connections to their personal or professional lives.

1. I connected with a group of women because he introduced sociological theories to us that directly affect us. We were able to talk about it and connect.

Question 13: Tell a future student about this instructor and course.

1. I will always recommend Prof. Chiang he is an amazing professor
2. Professor Chiang is a wonderful professor who is extremely kind and understanding. His goal was to make sure that I understood all the concepts and theories discussed in class. In his teaching, he still utilizes a lot of open form to encourage students to think and open up.
3. Professor Chiang, is very understanding and helps you understand his class. I will recommend everyone to take his class. He gives you time to complete your assignments and you do not have to wait for him to grade it. He is just a wonderful Professor. I wish every Professor was like him. I will take every class that I know if he is teaching it.
4. Professor Chiang is so nice and understanding. He is always so open-minded and ready to listen to students when they speak. I highly recommend taking this class with him.
5. Professor Chiang is knowledgeable. It is not an "easy" class but he makes it easy in the way that the information is presented. He is an open book, and is thoughtful and understanding. Professor Chiang loves education and it shows in how he lectures. Engage with him, learn from him, listen to him. What he is saying is relevant. The content is very relative to everyday living and I am so happy that I took this course